WHAT WE ARE TO WHAT WE KNOW TO BE TRUE FROM OTHER SCRIPTURAL DATA					
COUNT OR RECKON	CHRISTIANS	CHRISTIANS	CHRISTIANS	CHRISTIANS	CHRISTIANS
TO BE TRUE WITH	NO LONGER	STILL HAVE	DO STILL	DO NOT	STILL
REGARD TO SIN	BEAR GUILT	THE SIN- NATURE	HAVE THE SIN-	PRACTICE SINNING	COMMIT ACTS OF
		NATORE	PRINCIPLE	Silvining	SIN
1. Count yourself to be	already	irrelevant	irrelevant	irrelevant	irrelevant
completely dead to the	covered, in	incievant	incievant	inclevant	ircicvant
guilt of sin	Romans 3-5				
2. Count yourself to be	irrelevant	either a	how is this	irrelevant	do acts of sin
completely dead to the		contradiction	possible?		arise when
sin-nature		or a self- delusion			we fail to reckon?
3. Count yourself to be	irrelevant	should not	does God	how does the	how does the
completely dead to the		the sin-nature	view	positional	positional
sin-principle		also be	something as	affect the	affect the
(positionally)		counted	true which is	experiential?	experiential?
		dead? but it isn't!	not true?		
4. Count yourself to be	irrelevant	what	what	how does this	how does
initially dead to the sin		happens after	happens after	relate to	anticipation
principle		the initial	the initial	continued	affect
(experientially)		stage?	stage?	nonpractice of sin?	experience?
5. Count yourself to be	irrelevant	what	what	how does this	given the
initially and anticipatively dead to		happens in the interim?	happens in the interim?	relate to continued	nonmastery of the sin-
the sin-principle		the interim?	the interim?	nonpractice	principle, how
(experientially)				of sin?	can acts of
					sin arise?
6. Count yourself to be	irrelevant	is the sin-	how can the	how does	given the
completely dead to the mastery of the sin-		nature then merely	sin-principle be present,	nonmastery of the sin-	nonmastery of the sin-
principle (relationally		dormant?	yet not be	principle	principle, how
and experientially)			master?	relate to the	can acts of
				nonpractice of sin?	sin arise?
7. Count yourself to be	irrelevant	should not	either a	irrelevant	then how can
completely dead to the	molovani	the sin-nature	contradiction	oiovaiit	acts of sin
sin-principle		also be	or a self-		arise? and
(experientially)		counted	delusion		they do!
		dead? but it isn't!			
8. Count yourself to be	irrelevant	does this	does this	good, but	does death to
completely dead to the	5.5 7 6.110	somehow	somehow	what does	practice imply
practice of sinning		deaden the	weaken the	"counting"	death to
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	imale - : (sin-nature?	sin-principle?	accomplish?	acts?
Count yourself to be completely dead to	irrelevant	does this render the	does this render the	irrelevant	contradiction
acts of sin		sin-nature	sin-principle		
		inoperative?	inoperative?		